IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Sanjeev Redkar, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1618

Serial Number:

10/080,530

Examiner:

Micah P. Young

Filing Date:

February 21, 2002

CONFIRMATION NO: 8656

Title: COMPOSITIONS AND FORMULATIONS OF 9-NITROCAMPTOTHECIN POLYMORPHS

AND METHODS OF USE THEREFOR

FILED ELECTRONICALLY ON: August 3, 2006

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT AND APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.705

Sir or Madam:

Patent Term Reconsideration

12/11/2006 01 FC:1455

Term A		(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Paten determination for this application.	
•		his request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;	
	[This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.	
		OR	
	(This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.	
		OR	
		This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.	
adjustrr	The statement are as i	ment of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the follows:	
37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification un 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than fourteen the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the re of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:			
		The date on which the application was filed:	
CKHLOK	00000020	232415 7071202	
	200.00 DA		

	The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an Office Action or Notice of Allowance					
	The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice of Allowance was mailed					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:					
	Reply					
	The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:					
	4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection					
	The actual date on which the Office replied					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	Appeal					
	The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:					
	4 months from the date of the appeal brief					
	The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than <u>four months</u> after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:					
	The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court					
	4 months from the date of decision					
	The actual date on which the Office replied					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					

L	date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. §151:					
	The date applicant paid issue fee:					
	4 months from the date of issue fee payment					
	The actual date on which the patent issued					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:					
	The date on which the application was filed					
	3 years from the date the application was filed					
	The date upon which the patent issued					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.					
	The date upon which the interference was declared					
	The date upon which the interference ended					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.					
	The date upon which the secrecy order was issued					
	The date upon which the secrecy order was removed					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.					
	The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court					
	The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability					
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit					
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.					

	IXI	Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.				
		The Office erroneously deducted 120 days for Applicant delay based on a Terminal Disclaimer that was filed on February 24, 2006 in connection with Rule 312. The Office responded to the amendment in connection with Rule 312 in a response dated June 7, 2006. The period between February 24, 2006 and June 7, 2006 is 103 days. 37 CFR § 1.704 (c) (10) states that Applicants will be deducted the lesser of the number of days beginning on the date of the amendment under § 1.312 or other paper that was filed and ending on the mailing date of the notice in response to the amendment under § 1.312 or four months. Applicants request that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 17 days.				
		educe the period of patent term adjustment for				
	Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement.					
	s which were erroneously deducted from the patent					
Ø	corized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. redit any overpayment associated with this cket No.12636-267).					
			Respectfully submitted,			
			WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			
Dated:		Aug 3 2006	By: Shiriey Chen, Registration No. 44,608			
650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811 Customer No.: 021971						